

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/805,148	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CICCARELLI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Timothy P. Solak	<b>Art Unit</b> 3746	

**All Participants:**

(1) Timothy P. Solak.

(2) Andrew Morton.

**Status of Application: Allowance**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 26 May 2005

**Time:** PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*None*

**Claims discussed:**

*1, 11 and 21*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*TS*

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

I informed Andrew Morton that after reviewing the case, most of the subject matter indicated as allowable in the previous Office Action, was being taught by the prior art. I informed Andrew Morton, that the case was complicated because some of the terminology in the claims, did not necessarily disclosed distinct elements, such as the retainer and the frame.

Andrew Morton suggested faxing some proposed changes.

On 05/27/2005, after reviewing the proposed changes, I suggested minor changes to clarify the retainer mount to the top of the post.

Andrew Morton agreed with the changes set forth in the Examiner's Amendment .